Libin Cardiovascular Institute
Trainee Scholarship Review Form
Date/Month Competition

Applicant:	
Reviewer:	

Overall Score Calculations				
Applicant	**Project**	**Training Environment**		**Overall Score**
#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		**#DIV/0!**

Applicant Domain: Please provide a numerical score for each criteria

	Not Funded (0.0-2.9)	Acceptable (3.0-3.4)	Very Good (3.5-3.9)	Excellent (4.0 – 4.4)	Outstanding (4.5 – 4.9)
Academic Record *Coursework, GPA					
Awards & Prizes					
Publications/Conference Presentations/Research Experience					
Letter of Reference					
Overall Score for Applicant Domain:					**#DIV/0!**

Research Domain: Please provide a numerical score for each criteria

	Not Funded (0.0-2.9)	Acceptable (3.0-3.4)	Very Good (3.5-3.9)	Excellent (4.0 – 4.4)	Outstanding (4.5 – 4.9)
Feasibility of Project					
Methodology *sufficiently detailed & appropriate					
Quality of written section *Specifically section prepared by trainee					
Project relevance for MSc/PhD					
Overall Score for Project Domain:					**#DIV/0!**

Training Environment Domain: Please provide a numerical score for each criteria
Please take into account if the supervisor is a junior faculty member (ex. A scientist-new investigator within ACHRI)

	Not Funded (0.0-2.9)	Acceptable (3.0-3.4)	Very Good (3.5-3.9)	Excellent (4.0 – 4.4)	Outstanding (4.5 – 4.9)
Access to other trainees *Graduate, Mentorship					
Supervisor Productivity *Funding, Publications, Training					
Adequate Resources Available					
Overall Score for Training Environment Domain:					**#DIV/0!**

Comments:

INSTRUCTIONS

Applications should be assessed and scored in **three domains**, in order to determine an overall score:

1. Quality of Applicant (40% of total score)

Criteria: Please consider…
- ➢ Applicant's written portion of the application
- ➢ The coursework and associated GPA
- ➢ Other awards received
- ➢ Publications
- ➢ Letters of reference

2. Quality of Research Project (40% of total score)

Criteria: Please consider…
- ➢ Is the applicant's research project an educational training opportunity?
- ➢ Applicant's written description of this project (well-versed? Logical? Interesting?)
- ➢ Feasibility and overall quality of the project
- ➢ Project's alignment with Cumming School of Medicine's research agenda and strategic direction.

3. Quality of the Training Environment (20% of total score)

Criteria: Please consider…
- ➢ The location, the learning environment, and other trainees that are provided to the applicant.
- ➢ Opportunities for mentorship and/or collaboration by graduate students
- ➢ Supervisor's research, publication track record, and current and/or previous funding

N.B. Preference is given to junior faculty members, where the funding would facilitate the development of the supervisor's research program.

4. Comments

If desired, you may provide comments.

Please note:
- ➢ Comments are used to better understand a scoring made or to differentiate the ranking between applicants.
- ➢ They can highlight any "red flags" regarding the applicant, supervisor, or overall application.
- ➢ Comments will not be shared with applicants or their supervisors.

The scoring sheet is provided on the left for your convenience. A score in each domain will be required and should align with the scoring guidelines in Table 1.
N.B.: You do not need to calculate the average for the "overall score" of each domain. It will be automatically tabulated.

Table 1. CSM Graduate Student Scholarship Scoring Rubric

Descriptor	Range	Outcome
Outstanding	4.5 – 4.9	May be Funded
Excellent	4.0 – 4.4	
Very Good	3.5 – 3.9	
Acceptable, but low priority	3.0 – 3.4	Not Fundable
Needs Revision/Major Revision	2.0 – 2.9	
Seriously Flawed	1.0 – 1.9	
Rejected	0.0 – 0.9	

*Please send completed reviews to: libinres@ucalgary.ca